

STATE OF INDIANA

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

CURTIS T. HILL, JR.INDIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL

INDIANA GOVERNMENT CENTER SOUTH, FIFTH FLOOR 302 WEST WASHINGTON STREET 1 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2770 www.AttorneyGeneral.IN.gov

TELEPHONE: 317.232.6201 FAX: 317.232.7979

June 19, 2020

The Honorable Theodore D. Chuang UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND 6500 Cherrywood Lane Suite 245A Greenbelt, MD 20770

Re: American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists et al.

v. United States Food and Drug Administration et al, Case No. 8:20-cv-1320-TDC Notice of Intent to File Motion to Intervene of Right by the State of Indiana, the State of Nebraska, the State of Louisiana, and the State of Oklahoma

Dear Judge Chuang:

Proposed Intervenors in the above-captioned case (hereinafter, "the States") hereby give notice of their intent to file (1) a motion for leave to supplement their motion to intervene with a proposed answer, *nunc pro tunc* to when the motion to intervene was filed, or, (2) in the alternative, a renewed motion to intervene which will include a proposed answer.

With regard to the States' intended motion, the States have corresponded with counsel for all parties in this suit via email at 3:45 p.m. on Thursday, June 18, 2020: Plaintiffs declined our invitation to meet and confer by telephone and intend to oppose the States' motion, while Defendants have not, after twenty-four hours, responded. The States now seek a pre-motion conference with the Court.

In their opposition to the States' motion to intervene, Plaintiffs objected that the States had not attached "a pleading that sets out the claim or defense for which intervention is sought," as described by Rule 24(c). See ECF 61 at 11. Plaintiffs cited no authority applying Rule 24(c) in the context of preliminary injunction proceedings, which commonly dispense with ordinary procedures in the interest of swiftly resolving urgent claims. In this case, the States had endeavored to provide such a pleading, but had not found it possible in the time allowed for the States to seek intervention. Any error, moreover, was harmless to Plaintiffs; witness that this Court held a hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction today without any answer from Defendants, only two days after Plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint. Out of an abundance of caution, the States were nonetheless preparing a proposed pleading for filing when this Court denied the motion to intervene, and are able to file it in short order.

Case 8:20-cv-01320-TDC Document 77 Filed 06/19/20 Page 2 of 2

The Honorable Theodore D. Chuang June 19, 2020 Page 2

Insofar as Plaintiffs believe the motion to intervene was procedurally defective under Rule 24(c), the States wish to remedy any defect in order to preserve their rights in the case. The States therefore propose two possible approaches to do so by motion.

First, a motion to supplement the motion to intervene with a proposed answer to the Amended Complaint, *nunc pro tunc* to when the motion was filed, would retroactively correct any technical concern as to the original motion. Second, in the alternative, the States may renew their motion to intervene, attaching the proposed answer to the Amended Complaint. The renewed motion to intervene would not raise new arguments or require new briefing; its sole purpose would be to ensure the States have preserved their intervention rights in light of the Amended Complaint.

The States wish to confirm what procedural vehicle the Court would prefer for presentation of the States' proposed answer. The States therefore respectfully request that the Court set a premotion conference and allow them to file an appropriate motion.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Alexander A. Bush

Alexander A. Bush, Esq., Bar No.: 13392 ROWE WEINSTEIN & SOHN, PLLC 1401 Rockville Pike, Suite 110 Rockville, MD 20852 (301) 770-4710 (301) 770-4711 (facsimile) abush@rowepllc.com

Gene C. Schaerr*
Stephen S. Schwartz*
SCHAERR JAFFE LLP
1717 K. Street NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 787-1060
(202) 776-0136(facsimile)
gschaerr@schaerr-jaffe.com

*Admitted Pro Hac Vice

/s/ Thomas M. Fisher

Thomas M. Fisher*
Solicitor General
Julia C. Payne*
Deputy Attorney General
Office of Indiana Attorney General
IGC South, Fifth Floor
302 W. Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204
(317) 232-6255
(317) 232-7979 (facsimile)
tom.fisher@atg.in.gov

Elizabeth Baker Murrill*
Solicitor General
Joseph Scott St. John*
Deputy Solicitor General
Office of Attorney General Jeff Landry
1885 North Third Street
Baton Rouge, LA 70802
(225) 485-2458
(225) 326-6490 (facsimile)
murrille@ag.louisiana.gov

Counsel for Intervenor States of Indiana, Louisiana, Alabama, Arkansas, Idaho, Kentucky, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, and Oklahoma